An official website of the United States government Here's how you know 🗸



National Library of Medicine National Center for Biotechnology Information

Log in

Pub Med [®]			Search	
	Advanced		User Guide	•
	Save Email	Send	to Display options 🗱	
Randomized Controlled Trial>doi: 10.1007/s12325-009-0013-x. E	Adv Ther. 2009 Mar;26(3):346-68. Epub 2009 Mar 28.		FULL TEXT LINKS	
Cost-effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of major depression: a health economics analysis			ACTIONS	
Kit N Simpson ¹ , Mary Jane Welch,	F Andrew Kozel, Mark A Demitrack, Ziad Nahas		□ Collections	
Affiliations + expand PMID: 19330495 DOI: 10.1007/s12	2325-009-0013-x	:	SHARE	
Erratum in			F	
Adv Ther. 2009 Jul;26(7):737				
Abstract		-	PAGE NAVIGATION ✓ Title & authors	-
Introduction: Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a novel antidepressant therapy shown to be effective and safe in pharmacotherapy-resistant major depression. The incremental cost-effectiveness and the direct cost burden compared with sham treatment were estimated, and compared with the current standard of care.			Erratum in	-
			Abstract	
Methods: Healthcare resource utilization data were collected during a multicenter study (n=301) and a decision analysis was used to stratify the 9-week treatment outcomes. A Markov model with an acute-outcome severity-based risk of relapse was used to estimate the illness course over a full year of treatment follow-up. These model estimates were also compared to best estimates of			Similar articles	-
			Cited by	
outcomes and costs of pharmacotherapy treatment, using the published STAR(*)D outcomes. The cost-effectiveness of TMS was described using an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained and on a direct cost per patient basis across a varying range of assumptions. The model's sensitivities to costs due to losses in work productivity and to caregiver time were also examined.			Publication types	
			MeSH terms	-
Results: Compared with sham treatment and at a cost of US\$300 per treatment session, TMS			Substances	
provides an ICER of US\$34,999 per QALY, which is less than the "willingness-to-pay' standard of US\$50,000 per QALY for a new treatment for major depression. When productivity gains due to		-	Associated data	_
clinical recovery were included, the ICER was reduced to US\$6667 per QALY. In open-label conditions, TMS provided a net cost saving of US\$1123 per QALY when compared with the current			Related information	

standard of care. In the openlabel condition, cost savings increased further when the costs for productivity losses were included in the model (net savings of US\$7621). The overall cost benefits of treating MD using TMS were greater in those patients at the earliest levels of treatment resistance in the overall sample.

Conclusion: TMS is a cost-effective treatment for patients who have failed to receive sufficient benefit from initial antidepressant pharmacotherapy. When used at earlier levels of treatment resistance, significant cost savings may be expected relative to the current standard of care.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00104611.

PubMed Disclaimer

Similar articles

Cost-effectiveness of combination therapy versus antidepressant therapy for management of depression in Japan.

Sado M, Knapp M, Yamauchi K, Fujisawa D, So M, Nakagawa A, Kikuchi T, Ono Y. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2009 Jun;43(6):539-47. doi: 10.1080/00048670902873664. PMID: 19440886 Review.

Cost-effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation vs. electroconvulsive therapy for severe depression: a multi-centre randomised controlled trial.

Knapp M, Romeo R, Mogg A, Eranti S, Pluck G, Purvis R, Brown RG, Howard R, Philpot M, Rothwell J, Edwards D, McLoughlin DM.

J Affect Disord. 2008 Aug;109(3):273-85. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2008.01.001. Epub 2008 Feb 11. Clinical Trial. PMID: 18262655

Cost-effectiveness of group psychotherapy for depression in Uganda.

Siskind D, Baingana F, Kim J. J Ment Health Policy Econ. 2008 Sep;11(3):127-33. PMID: 18806302

Cost-Effectiveness of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation versus Antidepressant Therapy for Treatment-Resistant Depression.

Nguyen KH, Gordon LG. Value Health. 2015 Jul;18(5):597-604. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2015.04.004. PMID: 26297087

Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Treatment-Resistant Depression: An

Economic Analysis. Health Quality Ontario. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser. 2016 Mar 1;16(6):1-51. eCollection 2016. PMID: 27110317 Free PMC article. Review.

See all similar articles

Cited by

Economic evaluation of interventions for treatment-resistant depression: A systematic review. Hannah LA, Walsh CM, Jopling L, Perez J, Cardinal RN, Cameron RA. Front Psychiatry. 2023 Feb 16;14:1056210. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1056210. eCollection 2023. PMID: 36873195 Free PMC article.

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation may be a cost-effective alternative to antidepressant therapy after two treatment failures in patients with major depressive disorder.

LinkOut - more resources

Zemplényi A, Józwiak-Hagymásy J, Kovács S, Erdősi D, Boncz I, Tényi T, Osváth P, Voros V. BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 28;22(1):437. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04078-9. PMID: 35764989 Free PMC article.

Connectivity-Guided Theta Burst Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Versus Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Treatment-Resistant Moderate to Severe Depression: Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocol and SARS-CoV-2-Induced Changes for a Randomized Double-blind Controlled Trial.

Pszczolkowski S, Cottam WJ, Briley PM, Iwabuchi SJ, Kaylor-Hughes C, Shalabi A, Babourina-Brooks B, Berrington A, Barber S, Suazo Di Paola A, Blamire A, McAllister-Williams RH, Parikh J, Abdelghani M, Matthäus L, Hauffe R, Liddle P, Auer DP, Morriss R. JMIR Res Protoc. 2022 Jan 20;11(1):e31925. doi: 10.2196/31925. PMID: 35049517 Free PMC article.

The Future of Training and Practice in Neuromodulation: An Interventional Psychiatry Perspective.

Trapp NT, Williams NR. Front Psychiatry. 2021 Aug 27;12:734487. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.734487. eCollection 2021. PMID: 34512426 Free PMC article. No abstract available.

Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for People With Treatment-Resistant Depression: A Health Technology Assessment.

Ontario Health (Quality). Ont Health Technol Assess Ser. 2021 May 6;21(4):1-232. eCollection 2021. PMID: 34055112 Free PMC article.

See all "Cited by" articles

Publication types

- > Multicenter Study
- > Randomized Controlled Trial
- > Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't

MeSH terms

- > Adult
- > Antidepressive Agents / economics
- > Antidepressive Agents / therapeutic use
- > Cost-Benefit Analysis
- > Decision Support Techniques
- > Depressive Disorder, Major / economics*
- > Depressive Disorder, Major / therapy*
- > Drug Resistance
- > Employment
- > Female
- > Health Care Costs
- > Humans
- > Male
- > Markov Chains
- > Middle Aged

- > Quality-Adjusted Life Years
- > Severity of Illness Index
- > Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation / economics*
- > Treatment Outcome

Substances

> Antidepressive Agents

Associated data

> ClinicalTrials.gov/NCT00104611

Related information

Cited in Books PubChem Compound **PubChem Substance Links**

LinkOut - more resources

Full Text Sources Ovid Technologies, Inc. Springer

Other Literature Sources The Lens - Patent Citations

Medical ClinicalTrials.gov **Genetic Alliance**

> NCBI Literature Resources MeSH PMC Bookshelf Disclaimer

The PubMed wordmark and PubMed logo are registered trademarks of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Unauthorized use of these marks is strictly prohibited.

